

Title	Entropy as a Measure of Hypnosis During Intravenous Anesthesia in Children
Author(s)	Klockars, Jaakko; Hiller, Arja; Muente, Sinikka; Gils, Mark van; Taivainen, Tomi
Citation	Proceeding of the ASA Annual Meeting 2010. San Diego,16 - 20 Oct. 2010
Date	2010
Rights	Copyright © (2010) American Society of Anesthesiologists. Reprinted from Proceeding of the ASA Annual Meeting 2010. This article may be downloaded for personal use only

VTT http://www.vtt.fi	By using VTT Digital Open Access Repository you are bound by the following Terms & Conditions.
P.O. box 1000 FI-02044 VTT	I have read and I understand the following statement:
Finland	This document is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of this document is not permitted, except duplication for research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered for sale.

Print Close

A941 October 18, 2010 9:00:00 AM - 11:00:00 AM Room Hall B1-Area H

Entropy as a Measure of Hypnosis during Intravenous Anesthesia in Children

** Jaakko Klockars, M.D., Arja Hiller, M.D., Ph.D., Sinikka Münte, M.D., Ph.D., Mark van Gils, Ph.D., Tomi Taivainen, M.D., Ph.D.

Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Hospital for Children and Adolescents, Helsinki, Finland; VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, Tampere, Finland

Aim of the Study

To validate Spectral Entropy as a marker of the level of hypnosis and hypnotic drug effect during total intravenous anesthesia in children.

Introduction

Monitoring the depth of hypnosis (DoH) may be even more important in children than in adults, because awareness is more common in children (1). Spectral Entropy (2) provides two indices, Response Entropy (RE) and State Entropy (SE), and has been previously studied in children only during inhalation anesthesia. DoH monitors must be validated with different anesthetics and age groups. We hypothetized that Entropy would have inverse correlations with the University of Michigan Sedation Scale (UMSS) (3) and the target controlled infusion (TCI) of propofol.

Methods

Sixty healthy children (3-16 yr; 15-61 kg) undergoing elective surgery under general anesthesia were enrolled. After premedication and iv. cannulation, the anesthesia was induced slowly with TCI propofol (Kataria pharmacokinetic model) in three 8-minute steps targeting at 1, 2 and 3 µg/ml plasma concentration. After this the patient was intubated. During surgery, anesthesia was maintained with stable remifentanil 0.3 µg/kg/min infusion and varying TCI-propofol steered by the attending anesthesiologist blinded to Entropy. No muscle relaxants or regional anesthesia were used. The Entropy indices were correlated during the induction with: 1) UMSS sedation scale and 2) pseudo stable effect site concentarion of propofol (C_{eff}; i.e. TCI plasma concentration stable for at least 7 minutes > 4 x T½k_{e0}) (4), and during the maintenance with the C_{eff}. The patients were divided into and analyzed in three age groups. As a measure of correspondence the Prediction Probability (P_k)(5) was used.

Results

Table 1: Demographic data.[table2]Table 2: The P_k analyses. Since Entropy (RE, SE) and UMSS and C_{eff} change in opposite directions, results are presented as 1- P_k . A value of 1 indicates perfect concordance between variables, a value of 0.5 indicates a concordance not better than chance.[table1]**Conclusions**

The correlations of Entropy vs both the UMSS and the Ceff were good.

Spectral Entropy seems to measure the level of hypnosis and the anesthestic drug effect during TCI propofol - remiferitanil based total intravenous anesthesia in children.

References

1. Davidson A et al. Anesth Analg 100:653

2. Viertiö-Oja H et al. Acta Anaesthesiol Scan 48:154

3.Malviya S et al. BJA 88:241

4.Munoz HR et al. Anesthesiology 101:1269

5.Smith WD et al. Anesthesiology 84:38.

From Proceedings of the 2010 Annual Meeting of the American Society Anesthesiologists.

RE vs Ceff

SE vs C_{eff}

0.81 (0.02)

0.81 (0.02)

Age group 3-6 yr 7-11 yr 12-16 yr Induction RE vs UMSS 0.87 (0.01) 0.87 (0.01) 0.93 (0.01) SE vs UMSS 0.87 (0.01) 0.93 (0.01) 0.86 (0.01) RE vs Ceff 0.89 (0.03) 0.94 (0.02) 0.94 (0.02) SE vs C_{eff} 0.87 (0.03) 0.95 (0.02) 0.93 (0.03) Maintenance

0.75 (0.03)

0.75 (0.03)

Relationship between RE, SE, UMSS and Ceff (Standard Error)

Demographic data					
Age group	3-6 yr	7-11 yr	12-16 yr		
Number of patients	20	20	20		
Male/female ratio	13/7	11/9	12/8		
Age, yr (SD)	4.8±0.9	9.5 ± 1.5	13.7 ± 1.1		
Weight, kg (SD)	21.4 ± 3.4	37.4 ± 9.3	51.2 ± 6.2		

0.86 (0.02)

0.86 (0.02)